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**CPIT 499 – Final Presentation - Committee Evaluation Form of Senior Project II**

**Project Title:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| S # | Student Name | Student ID | S # | Student Name | Student ID |
| 1 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| S # | Evaluation Criteria | Unsatisfactory | Satisfactory | Good | Very Good | Excellent |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | Prototype (including features) and its Applicability(SO-B, SO-G,SO-H,SO-J) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Testing techniques (SO-C) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  3 | Results and Concluding Remarks (SO-G) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Presentation Skills / Responses to Questions (SO-F, SO-E)  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub-Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Final Score / 20** |  |  |

Examiner comments, suggestions or recommendations:

 Pass with Grades

Pass with Minor Changes

IP

 **Examiner Name** **Signature** **Date**

­

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| S# | Criteria to evaluate | 1. Unsatisfactory
 | 1. Satisfactory
 | 1. Good
 | 1. Very Good
 | 1. Excellent
 |
| 1 | **Prototype (including features) and its Applicability Inside the Faculty or in the Markets**Adoptable in the faculty. The projects will benefit the faculty or the market in future | Only seems theoretical work. The students have not identified at all about the applicability of their system. | The proposed solution cannot be practically adoptable for the faculty or market due to low and poor performance. It is not efficient and cost-effective and not suitable to be applied in practice. Required functionalities of the project are not properly implemented or malfunctioning. | The proposed solution can be practically adoptable for the faculty or market with slight enhancement in performance. Moreover, it is efficient and cost-effective and can be applied in practice.Most of functionalities of the project are implemented and functioning. | The proposed solution is practically adoptable for the faculty or market and is acceptable in performance. Moreover, it is efficient and cost-effective and can be applied in practice.Majority of functionalities of the project are implemented properly and functioning properly. | The proposed solution is practically adoptable for the faculty or market and is effective in performance. Moreover, it is efficient and cost-effective with professional approach and can be applied in practice easily.All functionalities of the project are implemented properly and functioning as expected. |
| 2 | **Testing**Different tests (Unit, System etc) are performed and results are correctly documented.  | No testing is perform. | The project is improperly tested and contains various bugs and issues. Test cases are improperly documented. | The project is tested overall but contains minor resolvable issues. Some of these test cases are documented with known issues. | The project is tested like unit test, integration test, smoke test, load test, boundary test etc. Majority of these test cases are properly documented with known issues. | The project is properly tested like unit test, integration test, smoke test, load test, boundary test etc. All these test cases are properly documented with known issues and limitations. |
| 3 | **Project Results:**Conclusion is practical and realistic and Future work is defined and achievable. | No conclusion drawn. | Conclusion drawn are non-realistic and impractical. | The final conclusion is somehow practical. The project is expandable at high cost as described in future work.  | The final conclusion is practical. The project is expandable to some extent as described in future work.  | The final conclusion is practical and realistic. The project has potential to expand as described in future work.  |
| 4 | **Presentation Skills / Responses to Questions / in-depth knowledge about the project** | Very poor presentation skills. | Non responsive and poor presentation skills. | Average presentation skills and reasonable command over the project area while Q&A session. | Good presentation skills and enough command over the project area while Q&A session. | Excellent presentation skills and in depth command over the project area while Q&A session. |